



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Economics, Management & Trade
Manuscript Number:	2012 /BJEMT/ 2391
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF BEHAVIOUR-BASED SAFETY IN ACCIDENT PREVENTION IN THE BONNY NLNG CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, NIGERIA

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The components of a research-based paper are present. The author/s has systematically discussed under a typical headings/sub-headings for a paper. The title implies that by practising Behaviour-Based Safety, gives an impact in accident prevention and hence creates great potential by interested parties. However based on the data collected, the study is merely a perception survey and thus should be portrayed in the title instead. The sentences structure for both objectives needs further revision. Consider, "To ascertain whether... (need not spelt out the options)." to change to "To determine the relationship.....". Further, the literatures on the potential impact of BBS should be highlighted. Page 8, starting from line 211 to 240 needs justification by introducing the relevant in-text citations. The approach utilised to test on the hypothesis need further revisions. The use of non-parametric test should be applied. Consideration should be given on the aspects of confidentiality in revealing the name of the sampled organisations. The used of coding act as alternatives.	The title of the paper has been changed to read (Perception Survey of the Impact of Behaviour-Based Safety on Accident Prevention in the Bonny NLNG Construction Project, Nigeria) in line with your comment. The sentence structure for both objectives has been changed (see line 72-78) 1. To determine the extent at which reduction of workers at-risk behaviours is dependent on the implementation of behaviour-based safety programme in the Bonny NLNG construction project. 2. To determine the extent at which accident rate reduction is dependent on the implementation of behaviour-based safety programme in the Bonny NLNG construction project. Justification has been provided for page8 line 211to240. See the in-text citations ((Krause, 1997 line 195 and Cooper (2003), in line 202). Non-parametric test (the chi-square) has been applied in the test of the hypotheses in the revised paper see lines 284-286



SDI Review Form 1.6

	The recommendations put forward by the author/s, from line 373-402 need to be consolidated in the context of the paper. Recent sources of references are highly encouraged to further enhance this paper.	&301-303. Since the organisations are not being portrayed in bad light, I strongly feel that revealing their identities is a plus to them in bidding for future contracts. The recommendations has been consolidated to eight in the context of the paper see line 327- 338. Some old references were used because there are still relevant in the context of the research paper and provision of appropriate theoretical framework. .
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		